## Effect of coliphage $\lambda P$ gene mutations on the stability of the $\lambda O$ protein, the initiator of $\lambda DNA$ replication\*

Alicja Pawłowicz<sup>1</sup>, Grzegorz Węgrzyn<sup>2</sup> and Karol Taylor<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, University of Gdańsk, Kładki 24, 80-822 Gdańsk, Poland

The coliphage  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda$  plasmids derived from this phage code for two proteins,  $\lambda O$  and  $\lambda P$ , engaged in the assembly of the replication complex at oriλ, the origin of λDNA replication. At first a pre-replication complex oriλ-λΟ-λΡ-DnaB is formed [1], then the combined action of chaperonins, DnaJ, DnaK and GrpE, changes the structure of this complex, positioning the bacterial DnaB-helicase at the prospective replication fork, at the same time releasing this enzyme from λP-inhibition [2, 3]. The singlestranded DNA regions resulting from the action of DnaB-helicase make possible the binding of DnaG-primase. The last step in the assembly of the replication complex, the binding of holoenzyme of DNA polymerase III, makes possible the extension of RNA primer, and thus the replicative synthesis of  $\lambda DNA$ .

We have shown recently that the replication complex does not disassemble after a round of  $\lambda$  plasmid circle-to-circle replication (that corresponds to phage  $\lambda$  "early" replication) but is inherited by one of two daughter plasmid copies [4]. The  $\lambda$ O protein present in this complex is protected from bacterial proteases, while the excess of synthesized  $\lambda$ O is rapidly degraded [5]. Hence the stable  $\lambda$ O detected by immunoprecipitation in pulse-chase experiments in  $\lambda$  phage-infected and  $\lambda$  plasmid-harboring cells represents the  $\lambda$ O present in the replication complex.

Now, our aim was to study the λO decay, under conditions when the functional replica-

tion complex assembly was inhibited by the use of  $\lambda P$  mutants.

We used two  $\lambda P$ -gene mutants, one (Pam3) coding for a truncated \( \lambda P \) protein in non permissive ( $sup^+$ ) cells, and another ( $\pi A66$  [6], called in our laboratory Pts1), coding for a fullsize λP protein, inactive in λDNA replication at higher temperatures. In λPam3-infected cells that are permissive (supE) for amber mutations the phage growth corresponds to the presence of stable  $\lambda O$ . In  $\lambda Pam3$ -infected non permissive cells the lack of phage progeny corresponds to the absence of stable λO (Table 1). In these conditions the pre-replication complex oriλλO-λP-DnaB can not be assembled and only the binding of  $\lambda O$  protein to ori $\lambda$  can occur; most probably  $\lambda O$  bound to ori $\lambda$  is not protected from bacterial proteases. The  $\lambda Pts1$  phage does not grow at  $43^{\circ}$ C, and  $\lambda$  plasmid derived from this phage is eliminated from the cells growing at 43°C as efficiently as the λOts plasmid (Table However, in contrast to the truncated λPam3 protein, the full-size protein coded by  $\lambda Pts1$ behaves differently in non permissive conditions, at  $43^{\circ}$ C: stable  $\lambda$ O is observed (Table 1). This experiment shows that  $\lambda Pts1$  protein at 43°C is able to participate in the assembly of the pre-replication complex where λO is protected from proteases.

We have shown previously that, in  $\lambda$  phageinfected or  $\lambda$  plasmid-harboring chromosomeless minicells of *E. coli*,  $\lambda$ O decays rapidly leaving no stable  $\lambda$ O [7].  $\lambda$  Phage DNA replication

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Molecular Biology, Marine Biology Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kładki 24, 80-822 Gdańsk, Poland

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the Ministry of National Education and State Committee for Scientific Research, Project no. 4 0045 91 01

Table 1 Stability of  $\lambda O$  protein in Escherichia coli cells in the presence and absence of  $\lambda P$  replication function

Source of $\lambda O$ and $\lambda P^a$	Relevant E. coli host genotype <sup>b</sup>	Temperature <sup>c</sup>	λP replication function	Percentage of stable λO protein <sup>e</sup>
λ wt plasmid (pKB2)	wt	30°C	+	25.6 (±5.8)
λ wt plasmid (pKB2)	wt	43°C	+	20.6 (±6.8)
λ Pts1t plasmid (pGW2)	wt	30°C	+	50.8 (±3.5)
λ Pts1 plasmid (pGW2)	wt	43°C	-	42.3 (±4.2)
λPts1phage	wt	30°C	+	39.2 (±0.6)
λPts1 phage	wt	43°C	-	32.5 (±4.5)
λPam3 phage	supE	37°C	+	54.6 (±1.5)
λPam3 phage	sup+	37oC	_	<1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>pKB2 is a  $\lambda$  plasmid carrying the wild type (wt) *HindIII-BamHI* replication region from  $\lambda$  phage and a kanamycin resistance marker [9]; pGW2 is the same plasmid as pKB2 but the replication region derives from  $\lambda$ clb2πA66 phage (called  $\lambda$ Pts1 in the text) which carries a temperature sensitive mutation in the P gene [6]; pGW2 was constructed in this work;  $\lambda$ Pam3 phage carries amber (nonsense) mutation in the P gene [10];

Table 2 Maintenance of  $\lambda$  plasmids in E. coli cells in dependence on  $\lambda O$  and  $\lambda P$  functions

Plasmid <sup>a</sup>			Percentage of plasmid-harboring (kanamycin-resistant) cells after overnight growth at: b		
Name	λO allele	λP allele	30°C	43°C	
pKB2	O <sup>+</sup>	P <sup>+</sup>	96.2 (±0.7)	92.6 (±7.4)	
pGW1	Ots524	P <sup>+</sup>	70.7 (±16.3)	2.6 (±1.8)	
pGW2	O <sup>+</sup>	Pts1	72.7 (±8.5)	2.2 (±1.8)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Wild type  $\lambda$  plasmid (pKB2) and  $\lambda Pts1$  plasmid (pGW2) were as described in Table 1. The  $\lambda Ots524$  plasmid (pGW1) is an pKB2 analog carrying a temperature sensitive mutation in  $\lambda O$  gene and has been described earlier [13];

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>The genotypes of E. coli K-12 strains are as follows: QD5003 supF [11] ("wt" in the Table); C600 supE44 hsdR thi-1 thr-1 leuB6 lacY1 tonA21 [12] ("supE" in the Table); W3350 galK2 galT2 [10] ("sup+" in the Table);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>The indicated temperatures were maintained throughout the pulse-chase experiments;

d(+) indicates the presence of λP replication function and (-) its absence;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>The pulse-chase labeling experiments and immunoprecipitation of  $\lambda O$  protein as well as the quantification of the  $\lambda O$  protein remaining after different chase times intervals were preformed exactly as described previously [5]. In the presence of the stable fraction of  $\lambda O$  protein in *E. coli* cells the rapid decay of  $\lambda O$  protein was observed during the first two minutes of chase, then the level of  $\lambda O$  became stabilized (compare with reference 5). The zero time was the time of beginning of the chase which followed a 5 min [ $^{35}$ S]methionine pulse, and the amount of  $\lambda O$  protein at this time was taken as 100%. The data presented in the Table are average values of the results obtained from the fourth to the sixteenth minute of the chase. Standard deviation is presented in parentheses. The detectable level of  $\lambda O$  protein was about 1% in comparison to the amount of protein at zero time.

bDifferent E. coli strains (HB101 [14], CBO129 Hfr [9], C600 [12] or wild type MG1655) harboring pKB2, pGW1 or pGW2 plasmid were grown overnight at 30°C in LB medium containing kanamycin at final concentration of 25 μg/ml. Then the cultures were diluted 1:1000 and cultivated overnight in LB medium without any antibiotic at 30°C or 43°C. Bacterial cultures were titrated on LB plates with and without kanamycin at 30°C and the percentage of kanamycin-resistant colonies was estimated for each culture. The results are average values ± S.D. from separate experiments. Standard deviation is presented in parentheses.

does not occur in this system [8] in spite of extensive synthesis of  $\lambda O$  and  $\lambda P$  proteins. In the light of the present results we infer that in minicells a step leading to the assembly of the pre-replication complex can not occur. The simplest assumption is that DnaB-helicase does not segregate to minicells during asymmetric septation that produces these chromosomeless bodies.

## REFERENCES

- Żylicz, M., Górska, J., Taylor, K. & Georgopoulos, C. (1984) Mol. Gen. Genet. 196, 401 - 406
- Żylicz, M., Ang, D., Liberek, K. & Georgopoulos, C. (1989) EMBO J. 8, 1601 - 1608.
- Dodson, M., McMacken, R. & Echols, H. (1989)
  J. Biol. Chem. 264, 10719 10725.
- Wegrzyn, G. & Taylor, K. (1992) J. Mol. Biol. 226, 681 - 688.
- Wegrzyn, G., Pawłowicz, A. & Taylor, K. (1992)
  J. Mol. Biol. 226, 675 680.
- Klinert, J. & Klein, A. (1978) J. Virol. 25,730-737.
- Lipińska, B., Podhajska, A. & Taylor, K. (1980) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 92, 120 - 126.
- Witkiewicz, H. & Taylor, K. (1979) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 564, 31 - 36.
- Kur, J., Górska, J. & Taylor, K. (1987) J. Mol. Biol. 198, 203 - 210.
- Campbell, A. (1961) Virology, 14, 22 32.
- Yanofsky, C. & Ito, J. (1966) J. Mol. Biol. 21, 313 -334.
- 12. Appleyard, R.K. (1954) Genetics 39, 440 452.
- Wegrzyn, G., Neubauer, P., Krueger, S., Hecker, M. & Taylor, K. (1991) Mol. Gen. Genet. 225, 94 -98.
- Boyer, H.W. & Roulland-Dussoix, D. (1969) J. Mol. Biol. 41, 459 - 472.
- Goldberg, A.R. & Howe, M. (1969) Virology 38, 200 - 202.